Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/510,069	BILODEAU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachary C. Tucker	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeals all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu IGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>30 January 2007</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>2-8,10 and 11</u> .		•
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally all b) Some* c) None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	e been received. e been received in Application	n No
* Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminification (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.	
(a) Including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948) attached
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	• • • •	-
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 		
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 □ Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	mmary (PTO-413),
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date	Paper No./N	Mail Date Amendment/Comment
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🖾 Examiner's S	Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Application/Control Number: 10/510,069

Art Unit: 1624

Response to Amendment

As requested by applicants in the correspondence filed 30 January 2007 (hereinafter "present amendment"), which is in reply to the Office action mailed 7 November 2006 (hereinafter "previous Office action"), claims 1, 9, 12-18, 24 and 25 have been cancelled and claims 2, 3 and 6-8 have been amended. The specification has been amended also, at pages 27, 28, 31-35, 37, 40, 41, 44-50, 100 and 102, as requested in the present amendment.

Status of Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

In the previous Office action, claims 12-17, 24 and 24 were rejected under the first paragraph of this statute, for failing to meet the enablement requirement. Specifically, methods of treating cancer, generally, were found not to be enabled by the disclosure, and methods wherein inhibition of "one or more isoforms of Akt in a mammal" comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a compound according to the present invention were similarly found not to be enabled.

Applicants' cancellation of all rejected claims has rendered the rejections of record moot.

Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

A provisional rejection of instant claims 1-18, 24 and 25, as being unpatentable over claims 1-41 of copending U.S. patent application serial No. 10/510,068 was set forth in the previous Office action.

Applicants' present amendment does not address this rejection. However, because the rejection was provisional, and because the instant application is in condition for allowance but for the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection, the rejection is hereby withdrawn. MPEP 804(B) directs the examiner to withdraw a provisional

Application/Control Number: 10/510,069

Art Unit: 1624

obviousness-type double patenting rejection if that rejection is the only rejection remaining, and the application is otherwise in condition for allowance, if the application being examined is the "base invention" out of two applications filed on the same day. Since that is the situation presently at hand, it is proper to withdraw the double patenting rejection.

Status of Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

In the previous Office action, claims 1, 9 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Venugapalan et al, *Indian Journal of Chemistry*, vol. 30B, page s777-783 (191) or IN 166761, also to Venugapalan et al.

Claims 1, 9 and 12 all have been cancelled, therefore, the rejection based on Venugapalan et al is now moot.

Status of Claim Objections

Claims 6-8 were objected to in the previous Office action, for spelling errors in the compound names. The present amendment corrects those errors, therefore the objections are withdrawn.

Declaration

Because non-initialed alterations to co-inventor Mark E. Duggan's entry in the declaration were made, the declaration was objected to in the previous Office action, under 37 C.F.R. 1.67(a). The filing of a declaration with Mark E. Duggan's entry properly initialed at the alterations has obviated the objection to the declaration.

Specification

Compound names were misspelled in the instant specification, in the same manner as were compound names misspelled in claims 6-8. So, in the previous Office action, the specification was objected to. The present amendment has corrected those errors, and therefore the objection to the specification is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1624

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-8, 10 and 11 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

All rejections and objections of record have been overcome by applicants' present amendment. Subject matter according to instant claims 2-8, 10 and 11 is neither disclosed, taught nor suggested in the prior art. The closest prior art with respect to the subject matter of the allowed claims is the Venugapalan et al references (journal article and Indian patent), and US 7,034,026 (Barnett et al), which was summarized and contrasted with the present invention on page 10 of the previous Office action.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

All Post-Allowance Correspondence concerning this application must be mailed to:

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or you can fax them to the Office of Patent Publications at 703-872-9306, in order to expedite the handling of such correspondence as amendments under 37 CFR 1.312; information disclosure statements, and formal drawings. Sending Post-Allowance papers to Technology Center 1600 will only cause delays in matching papers with the case.

For information concerning status of correspondence sent after receipt of the Notice of Allowance, please contact the Correspondence Branch at (703) 305-8027. The Notice of Allowance also has an insert containing contact information on other items, including Issue Fees, receipt of formal drawings and the status of the application.

ZACHARY C. TUCKER PRIMARY EXAMINER